



| 1.00 | PROJECT DESCRIPTION  |  |
|------|--|--|
| 1.1  | The application is for a mixed use redevelopment comprising 3,039 sqm Gross<br>Internal Area (GIA) retail uses (Use Class A1/ A2), 1,895 sqm GIA restaurant use (Use<br>Class A3), 1,049 GIA sqm cinema (Use Class D2) and 99 dwellings (Use Class C3)<br>together with the provision of car and cycle parking, highway works, public realm<br>improvements, and associated works, the re-alignment of Public Right of Way ref<br>WBX17 and extinguishment of Public Right of Way ref WBX18, and either:-<br>(a) 9 additional dwellings (Use Class C3) and 372 sqm GIA office uses (Use Class B1),<br>or<br>(b) 1,144 sqm GIA medical centre (Use Class D1). |  |
| 2.00 | SCHEME OVERVIEW  |  |
| 2.1  | The purpose of this report is to provide supplementary information to accompany the  |  |
|      | Planning Application. This report is included as an addendum to the COWI Report in   |  |
|      | respect of the potential impact of the development on the existing Network Rail  |  |
|      | Underground Tunnel which passes beneath the site. The information contained in   |  |
|      | this report is a preliminary overview of the structural engineering methodology that   |  |
|      | is to be adopted for the scheme, particularly in relation to new foundations   |  |
|      | adjacent/above the existing tunnel. No structural drawings have been prepared for  |  |
|      | Planning Stage. However more detailed Structural Reports, Drawings and Calculations  |  |
|      | will be submitted to Network Rail in due course as part of Technical BAPA submission   |  |
|      | for the project.   |  |
| 3.0  | SITE CONSTRAINTS/TOPOGRAPHY  |  |
| 3.1  | The Network Rail tunnel runs directly under the eastern boundary of the site adjacent  |  |
|      | to Mount Pleasant Road.  |  |
|      |  |  |
| 3.2  | A tapered zone of influence (ZOI) Extends over part of the site from the rear of the   |  |
|      | footpath along Mount Pleasant Road. This ZOI measures approx. 14m at the   |  |
|      | southern boundary at retail unit 1 to approx. 7.5m at the north east boundary to   |  |
|      | retail 10 (i.e. corner of Church Road with Mount Pleasant Road).   |  |

| 3.3 | The Restaurant and Residential Elevations along Mount Pleasant Road (Blocks A & B)           |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
|     | have been set back from the Boundary to limit the building height over the ZOI to            |  |  |  |  |
|     | approx. 2-3 storeys. This is in keeping with the former shop fronts that occupied this       |  |  |  |  |
|     | part of the site along Mount Pleasant Road, prior to demolition.                             |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.4 | The Site topography slopes steeply from highest point at the North West corner of            |  |  |  |  |
|     | the site (adjacent to Pitcher & Piano) to lowest point at the South East corner of the       |  |  |  |  |
|     | site along Mount Pleasant Road. The overall difference in level across the site              |  |  |  |  |
|     | vertically is approximately 9m.  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | Stepped ground floor levels between units and a series of sloping walkways between           |  |  |  |  |
|     | buildings provides a transition between the levels. Concrete retaining walls are             |  |  |  |  |
|     | required between changes in ground levels.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4.0 | GEOTECHNICAL REPORT  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 | A Geotechnical Report was undertaken by BAM Ritchies for the site in April 2012. The         |  |  |  |  |
|     | report indicated that site contained made ground (up to 1m in depth), that overlays          |  |  |  |  |
|     | Lower Tunbridge Wells Sands & Slits, with bands of Sandstone and Mudstone                    |  |  |  |  |
|     | (approximately 16m to 20m deep) that overlays Wadhurst Clay. Testing on the                  |  |  |  |  |
|     | sandstone shows that it has weak uniaxial compressive strength, so it is proposed to         |  |  |  |  |
|     | adopt a sleeved rotary piled solution at all areas outside of the Network Rail Zone of       |  |  |  |  |
|     | Influence. A raft foundation will be adopted along Mount Pleasant Road to spread             |  |  |  |  |
|     | the load from the low-rise section of the building evenly over the ground. Section 5.0       |  |  |  |  |
|     | below refers.  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 | FOUNDATIONS  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | A concrete raft foundation solution has been adopted over the ZOI to limit the               |  |  |  |  |
|     | applied bearing pressure over the Network Rail tunnel to 125KN/m <sup>2</sup> . This matches |  |  |  |  |
|     | the original bearing pressure of the former shop units that were previously on the           |  |  |  |  |
|     | site along Mount Pleasant Road prior to demolition. Therefore there is no net                |  |  |  |  |
|     | increase in bearing pressure and Network Rail have confirmed they are satisfied with         |  |  |  |  |
|     | this methodology.  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.2 | The remainder of the site outside the ZOI has a piled foundation solution supported          |  |  |  |  |
|     | on concrete pile caps and ground beams. The piles are a mixture of 900mm/600mm               |  |  |  |  |
|     | diameter concrete CFA piles depending on the vertical load capacity required. The            |  |  |  |  |

|     | piles are sleeved adjacent to the ZOI to avoid any load transfer to the tunnel and they |  |
|-----|---|--|
|     | extend below the lowest point of the tunnel.  |  |
|     |   |  |
| 5.3 | An Embedded secant piled retaining wall is required along the Western boundary to       |  |
|     | the car park and service yard areas to create the required levels. This can be          |  |
|     | accommodated as part of the scheme proposals.   |  |
|     |   |  |
| 5.4 | All of the residential buildings, restaurant, commercial, cinema and car park are       |  |
|     | supported on the piled foundations.   |  |

| 6.0   | SUPER STRUCTURE  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 6.1   | The building is predominately a braced concrete frame full height. The 1 <sup>st</sup> floor slab                                |  |  |  |
|       | acts as a Reinforced Concrete transfer structure to transfer the column loads from   |  |  |  |
|       | the residential layout into the ground floor columns and down to foundations. The  |  |  |  |
|       | residential level slabs at generally 225thk post tensioned flat slab structure.  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.2   | Stability is provided by Reinforced Concrete core walls at the stairwells and life shafts  |  |  |  |
|       | cores to transfer all lateral loads to foundations.  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.3   | The cinema structure is a braced steel framed building off a concrete frame podium   |  |  |  |
|       | slab separating the cinema from the retail levels below. The roof to the cinema is   |  |  |  |
|       | formed using steel trusses spanning across the width of the cinema supported on internal steel columns between auditorium walls. |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
|       | Frecast nonowcore shaps span between the steel roof trusses to support the roof  |  |  |  |
|       | tinishes and plant.  |  |  |  |
| 6.4   | Loadings - The super structure slabs have been designed for the following dead and   |  |  |  |
|       | imposed loading excluding the self-weight of the concrete structure:   |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4.1 | Residential – Dead load (finishes only) - 2.5kN/m <sup>2</sup>   |  |  |  |
|       | Imposed load - 2.5kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4.2 | Restaurant – Dead load (finishes only) – 3.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       | Imposed load - 3.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4.3 | Retail – Dead load - 3.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       | Imposed load - 4.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
| 6.4.4 | Cinema – Dead load - 3.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       | Imposed load - 5.0kN/m <sup>2</sup> (at all areas)   |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |
| 6.4.5 | Plant Room – Dead load - 3.0kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       | Imposed load - 7.5kN/m <sup>2</sup>  |  |  |  |
|       |  |  |  |  |

| 6.4.6  | Commercial Areas – Dead load - 3.   | 0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|--------|---|------------------------------------|--|
|        | Imposed load - 3.   | 0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|        |   |                                    |  |
| 6.4.7  | Car park – Dead load - 3.0  | 0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|        | Imposed load - 2.5  | 5kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|        |   |                                    |  |
| 6.4.8  | Service Yard – Dead load - 3.   | 0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|        | Imposed load - 12   | 2kN/m <sup>2</sup>                 |  |
|        |   |                                    |  |
| 6.4.9  | Roofs (all buildings) – Dead load - 3   | .0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                |  |
|        | Imposed load - 1.   | .0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                |  |
|        |   |                                    |  |
| 6.4.10 | Courtyard at 1 <sup>st</sup> floor L01 – Dead load - 7.                               | .5kN/m <sup>2</sup>                |  |
|        | Imposed load - 3.   | .0kN/m <sup>2</sup>                |  |
| 7.0    | CONCLUSIONS   |                                    |  |
| 7.1    | The structural solution proposed for the construction of the building has been given  |                                    |  |
|        | detailed consideration by the Client and the Design Team. The proposal to limited     |                                    |  |
|        | the building height/loading directly over the tunnel ensures that there is no net     |                                    |  |
|        | increase in loading in this area. This matches the previous building footprint on the |                                    |  |
|        | site prior to demolition. The sleeved piled foundation solution to the medium-rise    |                                    |  |
|        | buildings on site ensures that no additional load                                     | is transferred to the brick tunnel |  |
|        | walls.  |                                    |  |
|        | The proposal has been discussed in principle with                                     | h James Fazakerley (Network Rail   |  |
|        | South East Senior Construction Manager) and he  | is familiar with the site. Network |  |
|        | Rail are satisfied with the initial structural methodology adopted subject to final   |                                    |  |
|        | review of the detailed drawings, reports and calculations. Detailed conditions        |                                    |  |
|        | surveys will be carried out on all properties adjacent to the site prior to any       |                                    |  |
|        | construction. Monitoring of the tunnel will be carried out a Network Rail Approved    |                                    |  |
|        | Surveyor before and during the works.   |                                    |  |
|        | It is estimated that detailed design for the project will commence once Planning      |                                    |  |
|        | Approval has been confirmed. Detailed design is expected to take approximately 4-6    |                                    |  |
|        | months after which time a more detailed submission will be submitted to Network       |                                    |  |
|        | Rail for review and approval prior to commencing works on site.                       |                                    |  |