# CONTROL OF LEGIONELLA AUDIT PROFORMA

INTRODUCTION: This document is an audit sheet designed to assist persons in control of cooling systems which incorporate cooling towers or evaporative condensers to assess their level of compliance with the requirements of the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 1999 and the (revised) Approved Code of Practice (ACoP) - 'Legionnaires' disease: the control of legionella bacteria in water systems'.

### THIS AUDIT IS NOT A RISK ASSESSMENT IN ITSELF

THIS PROFORMA IS NOT A RISK ASSESSMENT. IT MERELY ADDRESSES THE MOST IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE ACoP, AND IS DESIGNED AS A METHOD OF CHECKING COMPLIANCE.

WHEREVER FURTHER ACTION IS REQUIRED IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO REVIEW THE RELEVANT PART(S) OF YOUR RISK ASSESSMENT AND/OR WRITTEN SCHEME OF PRECAUTIONS.

It is designed to be an audit to assess the adequacy of the existing assessment and to highlight areas where further action is necessary. Further information is available in the new ACoP which now includes guidance formerly given in the document HS(G)70, 'The Control of Legionellosis (including Legionnaires Disease).'

Name and address of company:	
1. Cooling system:	-
2. Date:	
2 Audit corriad out hur	_
3. Audit carried out by:	
4. Next review due:	

### NOTIFICATION

Risk Assessment	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
5.Is the cooling tower/evaporative condenser notified to the LA?		

The Notification of Cooling Towers and Evaporative Condenser Regulations 1992 require that all of these devices are registered with the local authority. If yours is not, contact the environmental health department of your local authority for the required registration form.

Risk Assessment	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
6. Is there a written risk assessment for the		
system?		
7. Does it contain an up to date schematic		
plan of the system?		
8. Does it contain details of the precautions		
to be taken?		
9. Does it contain instructions for the		
operation of the system?		
10. Does the assessment conclude that		
there is a significant risk?		
11. Does the assessment consider the		
tower's physical condition?		
12. Does it consider the tower's		
positioning?		
13. Does it consider the population density		
near the premises?		
14. Does it consider any 'at risk' groups of		
persons?		

Notes: Question 10 - For systems incorporating a cooling tower or evaporative condenser there will automatically be a risk of exposure and a written assessment must be recorded. The degree of risk will depend on a variety of factors including the condition of the tower, the population density within the vicinity of the premises (potential numbers of persons exposed) and whether there are any particularly susceptible persons nearby.

System Schematic	Yes/No	Further Action Required Yes/No
15. Does it show all system control valves?		
16. Does it show standby plant (spare pumps etc)?		
17. Does it show any associated storage tanks?		
18. Does it show system bleed valve?		
19. Does it show chemical dosing pumps and injection points?		
20. Does it show system drain valve?		
21. Does it show the origin of the water supply?		
Precautionary Measures	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
22. Has elimination or replacement with a lower risk system been properly considered?		

It should be noted that the precautions to be taken are required to protect persons against EXPOSURE to the legionella bacteria. The COSHH Regulations set out a hierarchy of measures to eliminate or reduce risks so far as is reasonably practicable. The first matter which should be considered is substitution of the system for a lower risk device such as closed chillers of air blast cooling where this is reasonably practicable. If this cannot be done, the necessary measures for control are more than just a chemical treatment of the water. They consist of a whole range of measures including design, operation, maintenance, monitoring and management and should include the provision of drift eliminators.

Cleaning and Disinfection	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
23. Is there a written cleaning and disinfection procedure?		
24. Is it carried out at least every six months?		

25. If not, why not and how often?

34. If not, why not?

26. Does it specify chlorine level at start of pre-clean chlorination?	
27. Does it specify contact/circulation time?	
28. Does it specify chlorine level at end of pre-clean chlorination?	
29. Does it give the method for cleaning all accessible parts?	
30. Does it specify chlorine levels at start of post-clean chlorination?	
31. Does it specify contact/circulation time?	
32. Does it specify chlorine level at end of post-clean chlorination?	
33. Is the removal of the tower fill/pack for cleaning and disinfection specified in the assessment?	

35. Are they removed for cleaning and	
disinfection in practice/	
36. Are there suitable health and safety	
procedures for carrying out cleaning and	
disinfection?	

On-Going Water Treatment	Yes/No	Further Action Required Yes/No
37. Is a water treatment programme in		
place?		
38. Does it use chemicals to control scale ?		
39. Does it use chemicals to control		
corrosion?		
40. Does it use chemicals to control		
bacterial and algae (biocides) ?		
41. Are alternating biocides used?		
42. Are the chemicals automatically dosed?		
43. Is there an automatic bleed to control		
dissolved solids?		

Correct and Safe Operation	Yes/No	Further Action Required Yes/No
44. Are there procedures for circulation of <i>all</i> parts once per week?		
45. Is there a shutdown of the installation at least once per year ?		

46. If yes to question 45 how long does it last?



47. Are there procedures for start up after shutdowns?	
48. Instructions for draining during long	
49 Instructions regarding valve settings for	
normal operation?	
50. Procedures for switching duty/standby	
pumps.	

Monitoring and Records	Yes/No	Further Actions Required Yes/No
51. Daily check to ensure conformance with		
operating procedures?		
52. Daily visual check made on the		
cleanliness of the system water?		
53. Chemical water quality checks carried		
out at least monthly?		
54. System physical condition checks		
carried out at least weekly?		

55. Dipslide tests taken at least weekly?	
56. If not, how often?	
57. Are legionella tests carried out every	
quarter?	
58. If not, how often?	
59. Records of all tests undertaken	
maintained?	
60. Recommendations for remedial action	
recorded?	
61. Completion of remedial action	
recorded?	
62. Are there records of plant usage?	

Programme Management	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
63. Is there a responsible person nominated in writing?		
64. Is there an appointed deputy?		
65. Are the duties of all persons involved clearly defined?		
66. Are all persons involved adequately trained?		
67. Are the responsibilities of the occupier and consultant(s) clearly defined?		
68. Have the other relevant health and safety issues – COSHH assessments for chemicals, safe access etc been addressed?		

Physical Condition and Design	Yes/No	Further Action Required? Yes/No
69. Are the drift eliminators suitable, in good condition and effective?		
70. Is the system water in good condition?		
71. Is the sump free from sediment?		
72. Are all visible surfaces free from slime or algae?		
73. Are all visible surfaces free from scale deposits?		
74. Are all visible surfaces free from corrosion?		
75. Is the water flow even across the whole of the tower fill?		
76. Have all dead legs or poor flow areas been eliminated?		
77. Has all redundant plant been isolated from the system?		
78. Are all pipe runs as short and direct as possible?		
79. Is the tower constructed of impervious		

materials?		
80. If constructed of wood, is this in good		
condition?		
Drift eliminators should be of a multi-pass	type. Old style sing	le-pass eliminators are
not acceptable. There should be no readily	y apparent emission	of droplets in the exit
airstream and where it is possible to look through the air path (from inlet to outlet)		
there should be no daylight visible. Elimin	ators should be chee	cked for damage and
correct location frequently as they are a vi	ital control measure	for minimising
exposure of persons to a potentially conta	minated aerosol. Ne	gative answers to
questions 69 to 80 would suggest that cor	trol of water is poor	, and that the control
measures are not effective and require a t	horough review.	

## COOLING TOWER/EVAPORATIVE CONDENSER DETAILS

Manufacturer:		Model:	
Type: (See Diagram Bel	w)		
Year of Manufacture:			



# CONTROL OF LEGIONELLA AUDIT PROFORMA

Please complete the details of any contractors below

(a) Ongoing water treatment contractor:

Name	
Address	
Contract	
Phone	

(b) Cleaning and disinfection contractor:

Name	
Address	
Contract	
Phone	

### (c) Risk Assessment:

Name	
Address	
Contract	
Phone	